# Strong localization in seeded PageRank vectors

https://github.com/nassarhuda/pprlocal

David F. Gleich Computer Science Huda Nassar Computer Science Kyle Kloster Mathematics

PURDUE UNIVERSITY

supported by NSF CAREER CCF-1149756, IIS-1422918, DARPA SIMPLEX

## **Localization in seeded PageRank**



## An example on a bigger graph

Crawl of flickr from 2006: ~800K nodes, 6M edges, seeded PageRank with  $\alpha$  = 0.5



X-axis: node index

Y-axis: value at that index in true PageRank vector

## **Localization in seeded PageRank**



Given a seed and a graph  $e_s$   $P = A^T D^{-1}$ What can we say about localization in the seeded PageRank vector with parameter  $\alpha$  ?

 $(\boldsymbol{I} - \alpha \boldsymbol{P})\mathbf{x} = (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{e}_s$ 

**THEOREM** We show that if the graph has a type of skewed degree dist. then the solution **x** cannot have many big entries. (*Previously this was known only for const. degree or very slowly growing.*)

# **Types of localization**



Weak (entry-wise) 
$$\|\mathbf{D}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*)\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon$$

Andersen, Chung, and Lang proved that the PageRank vector is weakly localized in the famous 2006 "push" paper.

Strong (uniform) 
$$\|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^*\|_1 \leq \varepsilon$$

# **Strong localization**

When is strong localization possible?



Consider graphs with very slowly growing degree or a constant degree.

An easy corollary of our subsequent theory. Also known from functions of sparse-matrix literature.

Handles cases like the Erdős-Réyni graphs and grid graphs.



error bound.

Consider a star graph

If we round k entries to zero,  
1-norm error is 
$$k \cdot \frac{\alpha}{(1 + \alpha)(n - 1)}$$
  
so...



this: 
$$\|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^*\|_1 \leq \varepsilon$$

requires

$$\frac{(1+\alpha)\varepsilon}{\alpha} \cdot (n-1) \le k$$

Values in the PageRank vector seeded on the center node. Essentially everything is needed to be non-zero to get a global error bound.

Seeded PageRank is also non-local on any complete bipartite graphs (generalizing star graphs).

Why? Fact: P is complete-bipartite iff eigenvalues =  $\{-1,0,1\}$ . PageRank is really a matrix function,  $f(x) = (1 - \alpha x)^{-1}$ .

Seeded PageRank is also non-local on any complete bipartite graphs (generalizing star graphs).

Why? Fact: **P** is complete-bipartite iff eigenvalues = {-1,0,1}. PageRank is really a matrix function,  $f(x) = (1 - \alpha x)^{-1}$ . Fact: a matrix function is equiv to interpolating polynomial  $p(\lambda_i) = f(\lambda_i) \rightarrow p(\mathbf{P}) = f(\mathbf{P})$ 

Only 3 eigenvalues  $\rightarrow p(x)$  is degree 2 (!)

 $(\boldsymbol{I} - \alpha \boldsymbol{P})^{-1} \boldsymbol{e}_j = f(\boldsymbol{P}) \boldsymbol{e}_j = (c_0 \boldsymbol{I} + c_1 \boldsymbol{P} + c_2 \boldsymbol{P}^2) \boldsymbol{e}_j$ 

## When is localization possible?

Graphs exist where seeded PageRank has **no** local behavior (star graphs)

& graphs exist with local behavior **everywhere** ( degree <= constant, or log log(n) )

So what properties can **determine** localization in seeded PageRank?



## **Skewed degree distributions**



## Strong localization in personalized PageRank Vectors

#### Theorem (Nassar, K., Gleich):

Let *d* be the max-degree,  $\delta$  be the min-degree, *n* be the number of nodes, *p* be the decay exponent.

Then the number of non-zeros *N* needed for  $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\varepsilon}\|_{1} \leq \varepsilon$ 

satisfies 
$$N \le \min \left\{ n, \frac{1}{\delta} C_p (1/\varepsilon)^{\frac{\delta}{1-\alpha}} \right\}$$
  
 $C_p = \left\{ \begin{aligned} d(1 + \log d) & p = 1 \\ d\left( 1 + \frac{1}{1-p} (d^{(1/p)-1} - 1) \right) & \text{otherwise} \end{aligned} \right.$ 

Due to the maximum degree *d*, this does not say anything about traditional power-law graphs (e.g. the Pareto case)

# Strong localization in personalized PageRank Vectors (sketch)



We study the behavior of the Gauss-Southwell or push algorithm for computing PageRank

- residual = remaining rank/dye to assign
- solution = assigned rank/dye

#### Algorithm

- 1. pick node with most residual dye
- 2. assign dye to node
- 3. update residual dye on neighbors,
- 4. then repeat.

## Strong localization in personalized PageRank Vectors (sketch)



(The proof builds on techniques from [Gleich, K., Internet Math 2014])

## **Asymptotic theory prediction**



y-axis = number of non-zeros in approximate solution x-axis =  $1/\varepsilon$ 

*red dashed line* vector contains *all* non-zeros *black line* bound on non-zeros predicted by theorem

## **Asymptotic theory prediction**



*red dashed line* vector contains *all* non-zeros *black line* bound on non-zeros predicted by theorem *blue line* actual number of non-zeros in approximation

## Asymptotic theory prediction



*red dashed line* vector contains *all* non-zeros *black line* bound on non-zeros predicted by theorem *blue line* actual number of non-zeros in approximation

## ⊗ → Need a better bound

# **Empirical scaling guides a new bound.**



At left, p = 0.95, black, green, blue, red, represent  $\alpha = \{0.25, 0.3, 0.5, 0.65, 0.85\}$ 

At right,  $\alpha = 0.5$  and dashed blue, black and green represent  $p = \{0.5, 0.75, 0.95\}$ 

# **Empirical scaling guides a new bound.**



We conjecture (bound')  $nnz(\mathbf{x}_{\varepsilon}) \leq d\log(d) \frac{0.2}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{(1/4p^2)}$ 

## **Bound' accurately predicts localization**



## **Conclusion and future work**

- Examine broader classes of graphs empirically (like real-world networks)
- Improve the localization theory to apply to a wider range of degree distributions
- Explore other graphs without localization more specifically, relationship between diameter and localization
- Get a theorem for the Pareto power-law case!